

## Ithaca, New York

**AE Senior Thesis** April 14, 2014

Angela Mincemoyer

Structural Option

Advisor | Dr. Boothby

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion



## Ithaca, New York

**AE Senior Thesis** April 14, 2014

Angela Mincemoyer

Structural Option

Advisor | Dr. Boothby

## Introduction

- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion



Owner | Ithaca College Architect | Holt Architects Structural Engineer | Ryan-Biggs Associates

58,200 GSF 4 Stories Substantial Completion | March 2010

## Introduction

## Introduction

- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion



**Composite steel floor** 3" x 20 gauge composite metal deck 6" concrete slab Wide Flange Beams, girder, columns Irregular Layout Geometry, cantilevers

## **Existing Gravity System**

## Introduction

- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion





North-South East-West Seismic Design Category A Wind Controlled Design

## Existing Lateral System

## **Concentrically braced structural steel frames**

## Introduction

- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion





Pratt Trusses HSS 3.5" pipe Double Cantilever

## Existing Bridge System

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

Scenario | Project Schedule is no longer critical

**Proposal** One way concrete slab system with pan joists and girders

- Beneficial for cantilevers
- Accommodates varying spans & geometries
- Thinner slab
- Minimize architectural impact

## **Scenario** | Learning opportunity

**Proposal** | Two different redesign options will be considered

- Reflection of New York's historic covered bridges
- Reflect on original name of the building ("The Gateway Building")

## Building



- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

Scenario | Project Schedule is no longer critical

**Proposal** One way concrete slab system with pan joists and girders

- Beneficial for cantilevers
- Accommodates varying spans & geometries
- Thinner slab
- Minimize architectural impact

## **Scenario** | Learning opportunity

**Proposal** | Two different redesign options will be considered

- Reflection of New York's historic covered bridges
- Reflect on original name of the building ("The Gateway Building")

# Building



- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

**Proposal** One way concrete slab system with pan joists and girders

**Goals** Design a one way concrete slab system while:

- Minimizing floor system depth
- Minimizing architectural impact

**Proposal** Two different redesign options will be considered

**Goals** After considering two redesign options: Determine which option to move forward with Design one side truss of the

bridge





- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

**Proposal** One way concrete slab system with pan joists and girders

**Goals** Design a one way concrete slab system while:

- Minimizing floor system depth
- Minimizing architectural impact

**Proposal** Two different redesign options will be considered

**Goals** After considering two redesign options: Determine which option to move forward with Design one side truss of the

bridge





- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion



- **CRSI** Manual
- 2 worst case spans considered
- 30" forms with 6" rib @ 36" o.c.
- 20'' rib depth + 4.5'' slab = 24.5'' system depth
- f'c = 4,000 psi
- fy = 60 ksi

## Pan Joist System

Same joist size and spacing used throughout

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion





-2'-6"-1

 $2' - 0\frac{1}{2}"$ 

Column Line 13

![](_page_11_Figure_12.jpeg)

### Column Line 2

- Designed members indicated
  - Chosen due to: Long spans,
- Designed using spBeam
  - Strength requirements
  - Deflections checked per ACI 318-11 Table 9.5b

![](_page_11_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_21.jpeg)

Column Line 8

 $2' - 0\frac{1}{2}"$ 

# large tributary widths, high loadings

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Designed using spColumn
- Both axial loads from above & moments were applied
- Square section chosen
  - Ease of construction
  - Aid in future lateral system design
- All column sizes were increased to 18"x 18" for constructability

![](_page_12_Figure_16.jpeg)

## Columns

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Columns & girders were removed from original design
- Floor system depth decreased by 5-5/8"
  - l
- Larger floor-to-ceiling height

Summary

![](_page_13_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_18.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Current lateral system
  - Concentrically braced structural steel frames
  - No longer the best option
- Gravity system may double as the lateral system
- Concrete moment frames in North-South and East-West directions
- 4 concrete moment frames were considered in each direction

![](_page_14_Picture_16.jpeg)

## Lateral System Introduction

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Both wind and seismic forces were calculated per ASCE7-10
  - Wind controlled
- Using spColumn, 18"x18" columns were designed
  - Biaxial bending was considered
- Worst case girder and joist were checked for beam-column interaction
  - Found to be adequate

## Lateral System Analysis

![](_page_15_Figure_17.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

Analysis was done using 4 frames in both directions

- By adding frames the system will surely be adequate
- The gravity system of the building doubles as the lateral system

![](_page_16_Figure_13.jpeg)

## Summary

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Sketches were produced to determine which inspiration would be used in the redesign
  - The covered bridge option was chosen
- Box truss design
- Move supports out to open up the space
- Warren Truss

## Bridge Introduction

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_17.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- trusses
- layout

Only gravity loads were considered for the side

Panel point loads were determined based on

Controlling Load Combinations: ■ 1.2 D + 1.6 L + 0.5 S

■ 1.2 D + 1.6 S + L

![](_page_18_Figure_19.jpeg)

## Loads

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - **Bridge Truss**
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Loading all panel points
  - Produced worst case force in top chord, bottom chord, and far left diagonal
- Use of geometry to determine member forces
- forces
  - Indexing Method is an accurate method of analysis

## Member Force Determination

## Indexing Method

Method of Joints to verify Indexing Method

### 1.2 D + 1.6 L + 0.5 S

![](_page_19_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_21.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_22.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

## Steel Manual Tables Table 4-4 for top chord and diagonal compression members

Table 5-5 for bottom chord tension member

![](_page_20_Figure_16.jpeg)

Top Chord: HSS7x7x<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Bottom Chord: HSS7x7x<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Diagonals: HSS4x4x<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>

## Member Design

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

## The Newfield Bridge

# The Golden Gate Bridge

![](_page_21_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_17.jpeg)

garde

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_13.jpeg)

## The Newfield Bridge

# The Golden Gate Bridge

![](_page_22_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_17.jpeg)

garde

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_13.jpeg)

## The Newfield Bridge

## The Golden Gate Bridge

![](_page_23_Picture_17.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

## The Newfield Bridge

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Chosen Inspiration**

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Redesign

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Façade Inspiration**

![](_page_26_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Redesign

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Façade Comparison

![](_page_27_Picture_13.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Façade Comparison

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Lighting Breadth

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- bridge system while: inches option
- **Goals** Design a one way concrete slab system while: Minimizing floor system depth Minimize architectural impact **Conclusion** Designed a one way concrete slab Floor system depth decreased by 5-5/8
- - Decreased number of columns and girders Gravity system adequate for Lateral Loads

# Building

Bridge

**Goals** After considering two redesign options: Determine which option to move forward with Design one side truss of the

**Conclusion** Considered two redesign options: Chose the covered bridge

> Designed side truss using the Indexing Method

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

- Minimizing floor system depth
- Minimize architectural impact
- - Floor system depth decreased by 5-5/8 inches
- - Decreased number of columns and girders

**Goals** Design a one way concrete slab system while:

**Conclusion** Designed a one way concrete slab system while:

Gravity system adequate for Lateral Loads

# Building

## **Goals** After considering two redesign options:

- bridge
- **Conclusion** Considered two redesign options:
  - option

![](_page_31_Picture_27.jpeg)

Determine which option to move forward with

Design one side truss of the

Chose the covered bridge

Designed side truss using the Indexing Method

- Introduction
- Proposal
- Structural Depth
  - Gravity System
  - Lateral System
  - Bridge Truss
- Architectural Breadth
- Lighting Breadth
- Conclusion

**Ryan-Biggs Associates** Holt Architects

**Entire AE Faculty** Dr. Thomas Boothby Professor M. Kevin Parfitt

**Fellow AE Students** 

Family and Friends

Acknowledgements

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

Angela Mincemoyer

Structural Option

## Questions

**AE Senior Thesis** April 14, 2014

Advisor | Dr. Boothby